

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

P

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/993,532	11/06/2001	Andrew W. Wilks	M-11644 US	8674
7590 09/23/2005		EXAMINER		
DAVID L. McCOMBS			CHUNG, JI YONG DAVID	
HAYNES ANI	D BOONE, LLP			
901 MAIN STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 3100			2143	
DALLAS, TX 75202-3789			DATE MAN ED COMMON	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-K

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/993,532	WILKS, ANDREW W.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Ji-Yong D. Chung	2143		

· · ·	LAMIIIIICI .	Ait oille	
	Ji-Yong D. Chung	2143	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>7/21/2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLIC	ATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLO	WANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance.	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
time periods: a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL	tiones with 27 OFP 44 27 must be	Eladithia b asaat	646
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	. will not be entered b	ecause
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: See continuation sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	·	-	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		Il be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,6,8-11,13,15-18 and 20</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	4.1 f	- 4' 6' A 1 '11	
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s).	
	WILLI	AM C. VAUGHN, JR.	7
	PRII	MARY EXAMINER	ノ

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Part of Paper No. 09062005

Application No: 09/993,532

Continuation of 3: The amended limitation "but prior to the boot up of the network of information handling systems" requires additional consideration and/or search.

WILLIAM C. VAUGHN, JR. PRIMARY EXAMINER